UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	X	
IN RE LUCKIN COFFEE INC. SECURITIES LITIGATION	:	
	:	20 Civ. 1293 (JPC)
	:	
	:	JUDGMENT APPROVING
	:	CLASS ACTION
	:	<u>SETTLEMENT</u>
	X	

JOHN P. CRONAN, United States District Judge:

IN HEED OF LEES DISTRICT COLUMN

WHEREAS, an action is pending in this Court entitled *In re Luckin Coffee Inc. Securities Litigation*, Case No. 1:20-cv-01293-JPC-JLC (the "Action");

WHEREAS, on March 5, 2021, the Court issued an Order certifying the following class for settlement purposes: all persons and entities (and their beneficiaries) that purchased or otherwise acquired the American Depository Shares ("ADSs") of Luckin Coffee Inc. between May 17, 2019 through July 15, 2020, inclusive (the "Class");¹

WHEREAS, (a) Sjunde AP-Fonden and Louisiana Sheriffs' Pension & Relief Fund (together, "Class Representatives"), on behalf of themselves and the Class; and (b) Luckin Coffee Inc. (in Provisional Liquidation) ("Luckin" or the "Company") have entered into the Stipulation that provides for a complete dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Luckin and the

Excluded from the Class are Defendants (*i.e.*, Luckin Coffee Inc., Charles Zhengyao Lu, Jenny Zhiya Qian, Jian Liu, Reinout Hendrik Schakel, Hui Li, Erhai Liu, Jinyi Guo, Sean Shao, Thomas P. Meier, Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC, Morgan Stanley & Co. LLC, China International Capital Corporation Hong Kong Securities Limited, Haitong International Securities Company Limited, KeyBanc Capital Markets Inc., and Needham & Company, LLP); the Officers, directors, and affiliates of Defendants; members of Defendants' Immediate Families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns; and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest. Also excluded from the Class are the persons or entities that timely and validly requested exclusion from the Class following the procedures set forth in the Class Notice, as set forth in Appendix 1 of the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated October 20, 2021 ("Stipulation") and listed on Exhibit 1 hereto.

other Defendants' Released Parties in the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation, subject to the approval of this Court (the "Settlement");

WHEREAS, unless otherwise defined in this Judgment, the capitalized terms herein shall have the same meaning as they have in the Stipulation;

WHEREAS, by Order dated October 26, 2021 (the "Preliminary Approval Order"), this Court: (a) found, pursuant to Rule 23(e)(1)(B), that it would likely be able to approve the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate under Rule 23(e)(2); (b) ordered that notice of the proposed Settlement be provided to potential Class Members; (c) provided Class Members with the opportunity to object to the proposed Settlement; and (d) scheduled a hearing regarding final approval of the Settlement;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice has been given to the Class;

WHEREAS, the Court conducted a hearing on July 22, 2022 (the "Settlement Hearing") to consider, among other things, (a) whether the terms and conditions of the Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class, and should therefore be approved; and (b) whether a judgment should be entered dismissing the Action with prejudice as against Luckin and the other Defendants' Released Parties; and

WHEREAS, the Court having reviewed and considered the Stipulation, all papers filed and proceedings held herein in connection with the Settlement, all oral and written comments received regarding the Settlement, and the record in the Action, and good cause appearing therefor;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 1. <u>Jurisdiction</u> The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, and all matters relating to the Settlement, as well as personal jurisdiction over all of the Settling Parties and each of the Class Members.
- 2. <u>Incorporation of Settlement Documents</u> This Judgment incorporates and makes a part hereof: (a) the Stipulation filed with the Court on October 25, 2021; and (b) the Settlement Notice and the Summary Settlement Notice, both of which were filed with the Court on June 10, 2022.
- 3. Notice – The Court finds that the dissemination of the Settlement Notice and the publication of the Summary Settlement Notice: (a) were implemented in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order; (b) constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of (i) the pendency of the Action; (ii) the effect of the proposed Settlement (including the Releases to be provided thereunder); (iii) Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses; (iv) their right to object to any aspect of the Settlement, the Plan of Allocation and/or Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses; and (v) their right to appear at the Settlement Hearing; (d) constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (e) satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77z-1, 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable law and rules. No Class Member is relieved from the terms of the Settlement, including the Releases provided for therein, based upon the contention or proof that such Class Member failed to receive actual or adequate notice. A full opportunity has

been offered to Class Members to object to the proposed Settlement and to participate in the hearing thereon. The Court further finds that the notice provisions of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, were fully discharged and that the statutory waiting period has elapsed. Thus, it is hereby determined that all Class Members are bound by this Judgment, except those persons listed on Exhibit 1 to this Judgment.

- 4. <u>CAFA Notice</u> The Court finds that the notice requirements set forth in the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, to the extent applicable to the Action, have been substantially satisfied.
- 5. Objections There was one objection to the Settlement. The Court has considered the sole objection to the Settlement and Plan of Allocation submitted pursuant to Rule 23(e)(5) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court finds and concludes that this objection is without merit, and it is hereby overruled.
- 6. Final Settlement Approval and Dismissal of Claims Pursuant to, and in accordance with, Rule 23(e)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby fully and finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation in all respects (including, without limitation, the amount of the Settlement, the Releases provided for therein, and the dismissal with prejudice of the claims asserted against Luckin and the other Defendants' Released Parties in the Action), and finds that the Settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate, and in the best interests of the Class. Specifically, the Court finds that (a) Class Representatives and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Class; (b) the Settlement was negotiated by the Settling Parties at arm's length; (c) the relief provided for the Class under the Settlement is adequate taking into account the costs, risks, and delay of trial and appeal, the proposed means of distributing the Settlement Fund to the Class; and the proposed attorneys' fee award; and (d) the Settlement treats

members of the Class equitably relative to each other. The Settling Parties are directed to implement, perform, and consummate the Settlement in accordance with the terms and provisions contained in the Stipulation.

- 7. The Action and all of the claims asserted against Luckin and the other Defendants in the Action by Class Representatives and the other Class Members are hereby dismissed with prejudice as to Luckin and all of the other Defendants' Released Parties. The Settling Parties shall bear their own costs and expenses, except as otherwise expressly provided in the Stipulation.
- 8. <u>Binding Effect</u> The terms of the Stipulation and of this Judgment shall be forever binding on Luckin and the other Defendants' Released Parties, Class Representatives, and all other Class Members (regardless of whether or not any individual Class Member submits a Claim Form or seeks or obtains a distribution from the Net Settlement Fund), as well as their respective successors and assigns. The persons and entities listed on Exhibit 1 hereto are excluded from the Class pursuant to request and are not bound by the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.
- 9. Releases The Releases set forth in paragraphs 6 and 7 of the Stipulation, together with the definitions contained in paragraph 1 of the Stipulation relating thereto, are expressly incorporated herein in all respects. The Releases are effective as of the Effective Date. Accordingly, this Court orders that:
- (a) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Class Representatives and each of the other Class Members, on behalf of themselves, and their respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns, in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Plaintiffs' Claim against

Luckin and the other Defendants' Released Parties, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Plaintiffs' Claims against any of the Defendants' Released Parties in any jurisdiction.

- (b) Without further action by anyone, and subject to paragraph 10 below, upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Luckin, on behalf of itself, and its respective heirs, executors, administrators, predecessors, successors, and assigns, in their capacities as such, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of law and of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever compromised, settled, released, resolved, relinquished, waived, and discharged each and every Released Defendants' Claim against Class Representatives and the other Plaintiffs' Released Parties, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from prosecuting any or all of the Released Defendants' Claims against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties in any jurisdiction. This release shall not apply to any person or listed on Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 10. Notwithstanding paragraphs 9 (a) (b) above, nothing in this Judgment shall bar any action by any of the Settling Parties to enforce or effectuate the terms of the Stipulation or this Judgment.
- 11. Rule 11 Findings The Court finds and concludes that the Settling Parties and their respective counsel have complied in all respects with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure in connection with the institution, prosecution, defense, and settlement of the Action.
- 12. <u>No Admissions</u> This Judgment, the Term Sheet, the Stipulation, including the exhibits thereto and the Plan of Allocation contained therein (or any other plan of allocation that may be approved by the Court), any subsequent settlement, or the communications and/or discussions leading to the execution of the Term Sheet and the Stipulation, or any proceedings

taken pursuant to or in connection with the Term Sheet, the Stipulation, and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith), shall not be offered or received against or to the prejudice of the Settling Parties or their respective counsel, for any purpose other than to enforce the terms of, the Stipulation, and in particular:

- evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Defendants' Released Parties with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Class Representatives or the validity or infirmity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in this Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Defendants' Released Parties or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Defendants' Released Parties, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation;
- (b) shall not be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties, as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties that any of their claims are without merit, that any of the Defendants' Released Parties had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement Amount or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Parties, in any arbitration proceeding or other civil, criminal, or administrative action or

proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; or

(a) shall not be construed against any of the Releasees as an admission, concession, or presumption that the consideration to be given hereunder represents the amount which could be or would have been recovered after trial;

provided, however, the Settling Parties and the Releasees and their respective counsel may refer to this Judgment and the Stipulation to effectuate the protections from liability granted hereunder or otherwise to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

- 13. **Retention of Jurisdiction** Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing and exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the Settling Parties for purposes of the administration, interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the Settlement; (b) the disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) any motion for an award of attorneys' fees and/or Litigation Expenses by Class Counsel in the Action that will be paid from the Settlement Fund; (d) any motion to approve the Plan of Allocation; (e) any motion to approve the Class Distribution Order; and (f) the Class Members for all matters relating to the Action.
- 14. Separate orders shall be entered regarding approval of a plan of allocation and the motion of Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees and reimbursement of Litigation Expenses. Such orders shall in no way affect or delay the finality of this Judgment and shall not affect or delay the Effective Date of the Settlement.
- 15. <u>Modification of the Agreement of Settlement</u> Without further approval from the Court, Class Representatives and Luckin are hereby authorized to agree to and adopt such amendments or modifications of the Stipulation or any exhibits attached thereto to effectuate the Settlement that: (a) are not materially inconsistent with this Judgment; and (b) do not materially

Case 1:20-cv-01293-JPC Document 340 Filed 07/22/22 Page 9 of 14

limit the rights of Class Members in connection with the Settlement. Without further order of the

Court, Class Representatives and Luckin may agree to reasonable extensions of time to carry out

any provisions of the Settlement.

16. <u>Termination of Settlement</u> – If the Settlement is terminated as provided in the

Stipulation or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Judgment shall be

vacated, rendered null and void, and be of no further force and effect, except as otherwise provided

by the Stipulation, and this Judgment shall be without prejudice to the rights of Class

Representatives, the other Class Members, and Luckin, and the Settling Parties shall revert to their

respective positions in the Action on September 20, 2021, as provided in the Stipulation.

17. Entry of Final Judgment – There is no just reason to delay the entry of this

Judgment as a final judgment in this Action. Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is expressly

directed to immediately enter this final judgment in this Action.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 22, 2022

New York, New York

JOHN P. CRONAN

United States District Judge

9

Exhibit 1

List of Persons and Entities Excluded from the Class Pursuant to Request

- 1. Steven L. Adams Springfield, OH
- 2. Glenn G. Albanese Stuart, FL
- 3. Rene Arce Edinburg, TX
- 4. Tsuyoshi Arikuma Fulshear, TX
- 5. Amit Bhatia Jersey City, NJ
- 6. James Milton Brewster North Port, FL
- 7. William R. Brink Pleasant Hill, CA
- 8. Blake Butcher Federal Way, WA
- 9. Phil Calise Hewitt, NJ
- 10. Lori Chadli Baraboo, WI
- 11. Pang Chan and Oi-Chu Siu Santa Clarita, CA
- 12. Mayco A. Chavez Gainesville, GA
- 13. Chesi Assets Limited New York, NY
- 14. Ariana Cicatiello Secaucus, NJ

- 15. Billy Coleman Springfield, OH
- 16. Anthony Colozzi Madison, CT
- 17. Thomas Santo Cugliotta Bonita Springs, FL
- 18. Hannah Curtis APO, AP
- 19. Daniel Adrian Daciuk Cambria Heights, NY
- 20. Delroy Investment Holdings Limited New York, NY
- 21. Manjot S. Deol Andover, MA
- 22. Ionn Carlo Y. Diaz Houston, TX
- 23. Leonard Doherty Lakewood Ranch, FL
- 24. Alexis Figueroa Houston, TX
- 25. Anthony R. Forestiere Madera, CA
- 26. Joyce Lee Fox, Trustee FBO Gene Anne Schlesinger Trust Beverly Hills, CA
- 27. Ian Gillen Princeton, NJ
- 28. Kenneth Michael Graw Jr. Peyton, CO

- 29. Paul F. Griese Las Vegas, NV
- 30. Thomas Gunter Oceanside, CA
- 31. Michael John Haller Plattsmouth, NE
- 32. Kenneth Harris and Robyn K. Harris Pelham, AL
- 33. Valerie Havemann Willis, TX
- 34. Robert Helfenbein and Adam Helfenbein Oakham, MA
- 35. Cesar Alejandro Hernandez Reno, NV
- 36. Isli Hoxha Brooklyn, NY
- 37. Interactive Digital Finance Limited New York, NY
- 38. Noriko Iwashita Evanston, IL
- 39. Joseph Jackson III North Chili, NY
- 40. John Paul Jones IRA Winston Salem, NC
- 41. Kingfishers LP
 Kingstown 1740 Fund LP
 Kingstown Partners II L.P.
 Kingstown Partners Master Ltd.
 Ktown, LP
 c/o Kingstown Capital Management,
 LP
 New York, NY

- 42. Paul A. Knapic Oakland Gardens, NY
- 43. Sean Kovar Conroe, TX
- 44. Venkata Rajendra Ratna Kumar Kovvuri Bothell, WA
- 45. Richard S. Kriechbaum Scottsburg, IN
- 46. Hanpeng Li Irvine, CA
- 47. Yifei Lin Bayside, NY
- 48. Cathy Lin Hurricane, WV
- 49. Geza Locsey Zanesville, OH
- 50. Jane Liu Plano, TX
- 51. Lakshmirajyam Maddela Monroe, NJ
- 52. Roger Marshall Pasco, WA
- 53. Jair Martinez Concord, CA
- 54. Williams S. Millard and Sarah Millard Kingwood, TX
- 55. Marco Moroso Happy Valley, HONG KONG
- 56. Syed Hussain Salman Naqvi Lexington, MA

- 57. Chi Nguyen Corvallis, OR
- 58. Quoc Nguyen Liverpool, NY
- 59. Tam Nguyen Seattle, WA
- 60. Tam Thi Minh Nguyen Fort Mill, SC
- 61. Tonia B. Norris Louisville, KY
- 62. James Oberle Two Rivers, WI
- 63. Lars Erik Odsather Fairbanks, AK
- 64. Monty Patch Houston, TX
- 65. Jahnvi Patel on behalf of Sammath Patel and Shivan Patel Lithia, FL
- 66. Kamlesh Patel Lithia, FL
- 67. Russell J. Pierson Riverside, RI
- 68. Johan P.M. Poelmans Renton, WA
- 69. Dorothy B. Powell Conway, SC
- 70. Andy Lin Qiu San Juan, PR
- 71. Can D. Quang Honolulu, HI

- 72. Paulo A. Rhor Austin, TX
- 73. Rochester International Holdings Limited New York, NY
- 74. Gary Rogala Cumberland, RI
- 75. Teresa Rosado Yonkers, NY
- 76. Teresa E. Ruiz de Rubio and Elmer N. Rubio Glendale, AZ
- 77. Loretta Rushworth Duarte, CA
- 78. Noureddine Sabaou Houston, TX
- 79. Michael Sabol Claymont, DE
- 80. Jonathan D. Sato Campbell, CA
- 81. Jonathan D. Sato Roth IRA Campbell, CA
- 82. Savern Finance Limited New York, NY
- 83. Harold T. Seitz Jackson Heights, NY
- 84. Areeba Sohail Freehold, NJ
- 85. John Stark Lansing, MI
- 86. TA Optimum Investment Limited New York, NY

- 87. TA Wealth Investment Limited New York, NY
- 88. TAN Kuay Fong New York, NY
- 89. Bernadette Yim Tang Chicago, IL
- 90. Marcus Taylor Torrance, CA
- 91. Ariel Thompson Hickory, NC
- 92. TIAH Joo Keng New York, NY
- 93. TIAH Thee Kian New York, NY
- 94. Wayne Thomas Todd and Carol Ann Todd Mount Pleasant, MI
- 95. Cesar Valenzuela Foster City, CA
- 96. Helen E. Vince, Individually and as Trustee of the Edward A. Darocy Trust Port St. Lucie, FL
- 97. Reed Arthur Voisine and Marilyn Edna Voisine Bristol, CT
- 98. Rudy Wahjudi San Jose, CA
- 99. Margaretha Welkhammer New York, NY
- 100. The Winslow Funds:

Nuveen Winslow Large-Cap Growth ESG Fund Nuveen Winslow Socially Aware

- U.S. Large-Cap Growth Fund Winslow Large-Cap Growth Fund MainStay Winslow Large Cap Growth Fund MainStay VP Winslow Large Cap Growth Portfolio St. John's University IBEW Local Union 481 Defined Contribution Plan & Trust St. Mary's University Justin Kelly Revocable Trust Justin and Susan Kelly Family, LLC The Justin and Susan Kelly Foundation Justin Kelly American Medical Association
- c/o Winslow Capital Management Minneapolis, MN
- 101. Christopher L. Winters Lafayette, LA
- 102. William J. Witt Phoenix, AZ
- 103. Patrick C. Wolford Houston, TX
- 104. Bradley Wonka Vero Beach, FL
- 105. Jack P. Wu Parsippany, NJ
- 106. Said Yusifli Littleton, CO
- 107. Edward Zemba, Jr. Anchorage, AK
- 108. Brian Zhao Plano, TX
- 109. Timothy M. O'Conner Cincinnati, OH

110. Lai Ye c/o AFN Law PLLC Seattle, WA